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PDC 2020 Setup
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The master model

A. Dependent tasks (red)
B. Loops
   1. Simple (purple)
   2. Complex (blue)
C. OR constructs (green)
D. Routing constructs (black)
E. Optional tasks (grey)
F. Duplicate tasks (yellow)
G. Noise (added afterwards)
Data set

- 2x3x2x2x2x2 = 96 models
- For every model:
  - 2 training logs
    - With and without about 20% noise
  - 2 identical test logs
    - With about 50% noise
  - 2 identical ground truth logs
    - By classifying every test log using the model

Classification score

➢ Balanced mean:
  • True positive rate (TPR = TP/(TP+FN))
  • True negative rate (TNR = TN/(TN+FP))

• About 50% noise in test logs
• If all positive (or negative), then 0.0
• If 1.0, then all true

➢ Model independent
  • We only need to have a classifier whether a trace fits the model or not.
Automated contest

- Logs are not disclosed
- Working algorithms should be submitted
- Organizers (three times):
  1. run the algorithm on every training log,
  2. classify the corresponding test log using the discovered model, and
  3. Score the classified test log using the corresponding ground truth log.

- 11 example algorithms
Automated contest

Manual contest

- Most complex training log and the corresponding test log are disclosed
- Classified test log should be submitted
- Organizers:
  1. Score the classified test log using the corresponding ground truth log.
Downloads

- Data set
  - 96 Models, 192 training logs, 192 test logs, 192 ground truth logs
- 11 implemented example algorithms
- All implemented submissions
- Classifiers
  - BPMN, DCR, LSK, PNML, XES
- Scorer

PDC 2020 Results
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Manual contest

- No submissions
- No winner
Automated contest

➢ 10 submissions:
  • Tijs Slaats c.s.
    o DisCoveR [Light] (Open | Closed) World
  • Karl Heinrichmeyer and Robin Bergenthum
    o KokosMiner[2[T5]]
  • Sander Leemans
    o InductiveMinerIM(c | fa)
    o DirectlyFollowsModelMiner
✓ https://icpmconference.org/2020/process-discovery-contest/automated-contest/
Automated contest

8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

Insufficient results

➢ KokosMiner2
  • 100% if no noise, no duplicate tasks, no optional tasks
Automated contest

8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

Insufficient results

➢ InductiveMinerIMc
  • 100% if no noise, no duplicate tasks, no dependent tasks, and no complex loops (98% with dependant tasks)
Automated contest

7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

➢ 59% if no loops
Automated contest

7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

- Non-deterministic!
  - Minimal FN/TP/TN/FP over three runs
Automated contest

7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc
Automated contest

6. 44% KokosMiner2T5
7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

- 66% if no optional tasks
- KokosMiner2 scores 48% (single run)
Automated contest

6. 44% KokosMiner2T5
7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

➢ Non-deterministic
  • Due to timeout of 5 minutes (?)
Automated contest

6. 44% KokosMiner2T5
7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc
Automated contest

4. 49% DisCoveR Light (O|C) World
6. 44% KokosMiner2T5
7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

➢ 80% if no noise
Automated contest

4. 49% DisCoveR Light (O|C) World
6. 44% KokosMiner2T5
7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

- Almost no FNs
- Many FPs if noise
Automated contest

4. 49% DisCoveR Light (O|C) World
6. 44% KokosMiner2T5
7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

- Very good scores on PDC 2016 and PDC 2019 data sets
Automated contest

2. 50% DisCoveR (Open | Closed) World
4. 49% DisCoveR Light (O | C) World
6. 44% KokosMiner2T5
7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

➤ 78% if no noise
Automated contest

2. 50% DisCoveR (Open | Closed) World
4. 49% DisCoveR Light (O | C) World
6. 44% KokosMiner2T5
7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

➢ Similar as for DisCoveR Light
Automated contest

2. 50% DisCoveR (Open | Closed) World
4. 49% DisCoveR Light (O | C) World
6. 44% KokosMiner2T5
7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

➢ Even better scores for PDC 2016 and PDC 2019 then DisCoveR Light
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Automated contest

1. 76% DirectlyFollowsModelMiner
2. 50% DisCoveR (Open | Closed) World
3. 49% DisCoveR Light (O | C) World
4. 44% KokosMiner2T5
5. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
6. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

Balanced results (71%-81%)
Automated contest

1. 76% DirectlyFollowsModelMiner
2. 50% DisCoveR (Open | Closed) World
4. 49% DisCoveR Light (O | C) World
6. 44% KokosMiner2T5
7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

➢ Some FNs, some FPs
Automated contest

1. 76% DirectlyFollowsModelMiner
2. 50% DisCoveR (Open | Closed) World
4. 49% DisCoveR Light (O | C) World
6. 44% KokosMiner2T5
7. 33% InductiveMinerIMfa
8. KokosMiner[2], InductiveMinerIMc

➢ Balanced results (66%-81%)
PDC 2020 Winner

SANDER LEEMANS:
DirectlyFollowsModelMiner
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Backup slides

BEST EXAMPLE DISCOVERY ALGORITHM

Log Skeleton (5% noise)
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Automated contest

Best example algorithm
➢ 80% Log Skeleton (5% noise)
Automated contest

Better algorithm
➢ 85% Log Skeleton (3% noise)
Automated contest

Better algorithm
➢ 85% Log Skeleton (3% noise)

➢ Few FNs, few FPs
Automated contest

Better algorithm
➢ 85% Log Skeleton (3% noise)
➢ Not better for all data sets