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Abstract—Conventional process discovery algorithms are fully automated and work as a black box from the user's perspective. Event data is fed into the discovery algorithm, and a process model is returned. Interactive process discovery is about breaking this black-box approach of conventional process discovery and involving the user during the discovery, i.e., adopting the principles of hybrid intelligence in process discovery. The central idea is to exploit the user’s knowledge of the process to be discovered within the discovery phase to obtain better models. The software tool Cortado allows for the incremental discovery of a process model based on user-selected process behavior. In this paper, we present the implementation of sub-model freezing, i.e., a novel form of user interaction during incremental process discovery, in Cortado.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Process discovery, a key discipline of process mining [1], comprises algorithms that (automatically) learn a process model from event data. Since event data often have quality issues and are incomplete, i.e., only a fragment of the possible process behavior is captured, conventional process discovery algorithms often yield low-quality process models. To address these challenges, the field of interactive process discovery has emerged. The key idea is to utilize domain knowledge about the process to be discovered, in addition to the available event data, to discover process models of superior quality.

In [2], we introduced the first version of the software tool Cortado. Following an incremental process discovery approach, Cortado enables the user to gradually discover a process model from user-selected process behavior, i.e., event data. This incremental approach to process discovery allows the user to influence the discovery of a process model interactively. For a detailed description of Cortado’s functionality, we refer to [2]. In recent work [3], we presented a novel form of user interaction in the context of incremental process discovery: sub-model freezing. In this paper, we present the realization of sub-model freezing within Cortado.

II. SUB-MODEL FREEZING

In this section, we first outline the concept of sub-model freezing within incremental process discovery. Afterwards, we focus on the implementation of said technique in Cortado.

The theoretical foundations of sub-model freezing are introduced in [3]. Figure 1 visualizes the conceptual idea. Starting from an event log and an initial model \( M \), which can also be discovered by Cortado, a user incrementally selects process behavior, i.e., trace variants, that are not yet described by the process model. Additionally, the user has the option to freeze sub-models of \( M \). For example, as indicated in Figure 1, the user freezes two sub-models, i.e., \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \), of \( M \). By freezing sub-models of \( M \), the freezing-enabled incremental discovery approach implemented in Cortado ensures that the incrementally discovered process model \( M' \) contains \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \). Without marking \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) as frozen, there is no guarantee that these sub-models will be present in the new model \( M' \) in identical form. Note that the incrementally discovered process model \( M' \) describes the selected trace variant plus previously selected trace variants. After one iteration, the user can incrementally add further trace variants to the model under construction. Note that the incrementally discovered model \( M' \) is used as an input in the next iteration, visualized by the dotted arc from \( M' \) to \( M \) in Figure 1. Further, the user can change

---

1Sub-model freezing is available from version 1.3.0, downloadable from https://cortado.fit.fraunhofer.de/
which sub-models are frozen in each iteration.

In Figure 2, we present screenshots of Cortado that are demonstrating the described incremental process discovery approach once without freezing (Figure 2a and 2b) and once with freezing (Figure 2c and 2d). In both cases, we use the same event data and the same initial model that describes the first two variants from the variant explorer, cf. Figure 2a and 2c. Note that Cortado uses process trees as a process model formalism. We refer to [4] for an introduction to process trees.

Figure 2b shows the process tree after adding the third variant from the variant explorer to the initial process tree. We observe that the algorithm added a loop on the activity W_Afhandelen leads. In Figure 2c, we see the same initial process tree where the user marked a subtree as frozen, highlighted in blue colors. After adding the third variant to the initial process tree with frozen subtree, we observe that the resulting process tree is different compared to the one obtained without freezing. This time, the algorithm added an optional activity labeled with W_Afhandelen leads before the frozen subtree is executed. Note that the frozen subtree has not been altered by the algorithm, compared to the execution without freezing (Figure 2b). Further, note that both discovered process trees, i.e., with/without freezing, describe the three selected trace variants shown; however, they structurally differ.

III. Conclusion

In this paper, we presented the realization of sub-model freezing—a novel form of user interaction within incremental process discovery—in Cortado. Further, we highlighted the difference between using and not using the freezing option with an example.
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